NOTICE: Amended on October 13, 2015. This amendment eliminates references to Suzaku (in descriptions of Fermi joint observation programs) since Suzaku is no longer operating, clarifies the language regarding the funding of proposals with non-U.S. Principal Investigators (Section 2.1), removes reference to the work plan when discussing the budget required for Phase 2 proposals (Section 2.2.1), and revises the funding expected to be available for Cycle 9 (Section 3). New text is in bold and deleted text is struckthrough.

1. **Scope of Program**

1.1 **Overview**

The Fermi Guest Investigator (GI) program solicits proposals for basic research relevant to the Fermi mission. The primary goal of this mission is to perform 20 MeV to >300 GeV gamma-ray measurements over the entire celestial sphere, with sensitivity a factor of 30 or more greater than that obtained by earlier space missions. A secondary goal includes the study of transient gamma-ray sources with energies extending from 8 keV up to 300 GeV.

The Fermi GI program is intended to encourage scientific participation by providing funding to carry out investigations using Fermi data, to conduct correlative observations at other wavelengths, to develop data analysis techniques applicable to the Fermi data, and to carry out theoretical investigations in support of Fermi observations.

The Fermi GI program also encompasses a number of joint observation program opportunities. Fermi investigators may apply for radio, optical, X-ray, or gamma-ray observing time through joint programs with the National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO), the National Optical Astronomy Observatory (NOAO), Arecibo Observatory, the Suzaku Guest Investigator Program, the VERITAS ground-based Cerenkov telescope facility, and the INTErnational Gamma-Ray Astrophysics Laboratory (INTEGRAL). Please refer to Section 1.3.3 for important details. They may also apply for high-end computing resources.

Investigators may propose Fermi pointed observations, but such observations will require scientific justification through simulations and exposure calculations because default survey mode observations will satisfy the scientific requirements of most studies.

The Fermi GI program is open to all investigators, but NASA funding is available only to investigators at U.S. institutions.

During this and all future cycles of the GI program, all Fermi gamma-ray data will be nonproprietary and will be publicly released immediately after ground processing. Release of summary data from the Large Area Telescope (LAT) shall be the same as in previous cycles.
1.2 The Fermi Mission

Fermi is an international and multiagency observatory-class mission that studies the cosmos in the <10 keV to >300 GeV energy range. The primary instrument, the Large Area Telescope (LAT), has a peak effective area (>8000 cm²), angular resolution (<3.5° at 100 MeV, <0.15° above 10 GeV), field-of-view (>2 sr), and dead time (<100 µs per event) that provides a factor of 30 or more advance in sensitivity compared to previous missions. The Fermi Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM) also provides the capability for studying transient phenomena, with a field-of-view larger than the LAT and a spectral range that extends from the LAT’s lower limit down to less than 10 keV. Although pointed observations are possible, the observatory primarily scans the sky continuously because of the LAT’s large field-of-view. In survey mode - the main mode of operation - Fermi provides nearly uniform sky exposure every ~3 hours.

Modifications to this standard sky-survey mode were implemented during mission cycle 7 and may be continued into the future. Those alternative sky-survey strategies were designed to maximize the exposure at the Galactic Center and in turn to optimize the pursuit of several specific scientific objectives. They resulted from a solicitation of ideas from the community leading to an external committee recommendation to the Fermi project. It is anticipated that the resulting non-uniformity of sky exposure leaves Fermi’s monitoring capability largely intact with a tolerable impact on other scientific endeavors. See http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/proposals/alt_obs/obs_modes.html for details.

Documents providing a more complete description of Fermi can be found at http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc.

The product of a collaboration among NASA, the U.S. Department of Energy, and several international partners, the LAT is a pair-conversion telescope. Gamma rays pair-produce in tungsten foils, silicon strip detectors track the resulting pairs, and the resulting particle shower deposits energy in a Cesium Iodide calorimeter. An anticoincidence detector provides discrimination against the large flux of charged particles incident on the LAT. The anticoincidence detector is segmented to eliminate the self-vetoing problem encountered by previous experiments.

Astrophysical photons are only a small fraction of all the events detected by the LAT on orbit. Most events are primary cosmic rays and their associated secondary charged and neutral particles produced in the surrounding spacecraft and the Earth’s atmosphere. Therefore, event filtering on board reduces the ~3 kHz detected event rate to ~350 Hz. Events that survive the onboard filter are telemetered to the ground. Further ground processing yields a "true" celestial photon average rate of about 1 to 2 Hz.

The GBM detects gamma-ray bursts. Consisting of 12 NaI (Tl) (8-1000 keV) and 2 BGO (0.2-30 MeV) detectors, the GBM extends Fermi's burst spectral sensitivity from ~8 keV to ~30 MeV and monitors more than 8 sr of the sky, including the LAT’s field-of-view. Bursts are localized by comparing rates in different detectors and rapidly distributed via the Gamma-ray bursts Coordinates Network (GCN). An initial location, computed automatically, is sent within several seconds, and is expected to have an accuracy of 5 to 10 degrees for strong bursts (fluence > ~10
photons cm\(^{-2}\)). A more accurate location (~3 degrees for strong bursts) is sent within 24 hours.

The threshold of the onboard trigger is a flux of about 0.7 photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (50 to 300 keV band), for a 1-second burst, and uses a variety of energy band and time windows.

Fermi was launched on June 11, 2008, into a circular, initial orbit of ~565 km altitude at an inclination of 25.6°. The mission design lifetime is five years, with a goal of ten years. After a checkout period, science operations began on August 4, 2008. The extended mission began in August 2013.

The GI community is supported by the Fermi Science Support Center (FSSC), which is managed by the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center. All publicly available data products, software, calibration files, and technical documents that have been developed jointly with the instrument teams are available through the FSSC (see http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/).

1.3 Types of Proposals

The Cycle 9 Fermi GI program solicits proposals in the following areas:

1. The analysis of LAT or GBM data from the beginning of science operations or development of data analysis techniques;
2. Requests for LAT pointed observations (but proposers should be aware that compelling science justification and analysis will be required to quantify the additional scientific benefit of such observations – see the Fermi Users’ Group (FUG) analysis at http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/proposals/pointing_analysis/). The total time allocated to pointed observations will be between 0 and 15%. Pointed observations will follow the same open data policy as sky survey data, i.e., they will become public immediately;
3. Analysis of correlative multiwavelength observations with other instruments and observatories that are directly relevant to Fermi science objectives (see FUG recommendation at http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/resources/multi/); and
4. Theoretical investigations that will advance the science return of the Fermi mission.

1.3.1 Analysis of all LAT gamma ray and GBM event data

The LAT team’s science goals are (1) development of event-reconstruction and background-rejection techniques; (2) production of a comprehensive full-sky catalog of gamma-ray sources; and (3) a description of the diffuse gamma-ray emission. Proposed Fermi investigations should avoid duplication of the first two of these goals. The extent to which the proposed research will enhance the science return from Fermi will be considered in the proposal evaluation process (see Section 2.2 below).

The LAT’s primary science data product is a list of events detected within the LAT’s field-of-view. These events can be used to detect sources and study their temporal and spectral properties. Fermi observes the sky in a survey mode that provides nearly uniform sky exposure every ~3 hours; this mode will suffice for nearly all scientific observations. GIs may request funding to analyze any accumulated data and may receive funding even if they did not request a specific observation.
The GBM provides event lists with measured energies and arrival times, permitting both temporal and spectral studies. In addition, binned background count rates with differing temporal and spectral resolution are also available, enabling background studies and source detection through occultation steps.

The GBM science team is already funded to provide the community with a catalog of GRBs, including localizations and spectra. Proposals construed by peer reviewers as duplicative of this goal may, therefore, be deemed to have lower priority than those perceived as addressing other objectives.

New data analysis techniques that will maximize the mission’s scientific yield are also encouraged. While the Fermi mission will provide a set of analysis tools with which a complete analysis of the data can be accomplished (refer to http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/analysis/ for details), specialized analyses to address specific scientific issues, such as blind pulsar period searches, the discovery of faint transients, or the detection of sources through occultation steps in the GBM background light curves, may require alternative techniques and additional software. GI proposals for such new data analysis techniques are solicited and should specifically address how the proposed techniques will advance Fermi science objectives.

1.3.2 Requests for LAT pointed observations

As noted before, GIs may also request pointed observations to accumulate sky exposure of a particular source at a rate higher than provided by survey mode observations. Similarly, GIs may request Target-of-Opportunity observations. Because pointed observations often provide only moderate advantage over survey mode, requests for pointed observations must provide a compelling scientific justification for interrupting survey mode. It will, therefore, be incumbent upon the proposer to demonstrate that a pointed observation is required to achieve the scientific objectives. Proposers thinking of requesting pointed observations are strongly encouraged to contact the FSSC (http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/help/).

1.3.3 Multiwavelength observations

Because correlative observations will substantially augment the science return from Fermi, such proposals are encouraged. Examples of correlative observations that will add significantly to the Fermi science include monitoring of blazars, follow-up observations of gamma-ray bursts, and determination of pulsar ephemerides. To foster correlative observations, the Fermi project has established joint observation programs with other ground- and space-based facilities. The Fermi GI program can award optical, radio, X-ray or high-energy gamma-ray observations through Fermi’s joint programs with NRAO, NOAO, Arecibo, Suzaku, VERITAS, and INTEGRAL. Note that only a single year of joint-program observations can be awarded through the Fermi GI Program regardless of the duration of awarded Fermi support. There are a number of important technical and policy details regarding these joint programs and prospective proposers are strongly encouraged to refer to the respective MOUs:

http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/proposals/nrao.html,
Regarding Suzaku, proposers should review the web page of the U.S. Guest Observer Facility to assess the current mission status in light of recent subsystem degradations and possible impacts on future observation plans.

For VERITAS, there are a number of technical and programmatic issues and it is strongly recommended that proposers, in addition to carefully reading the aforementioned MOU, submit a (nonbinding, nonmandatory) Notice of Intent (NOI) to propose through the FSSC VERITAS-NOI web site (http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/proposals/veritas/noi/).

The LAT instrument team will post the light curves (including spectral information) of the sources listed at http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/policy/LAT_Monitored_Sources.html. They will also announce the discovery of high-amplitude variations among these sources or of newly discovered bright transients to the community via Astronomer’s Telegrams and GCN notices. The FSSC will provide light curves and locations for these new sources.

1.3.4 Theoretical investigations

Theoretical studies related to the observations conducted with Fermi hold the potential to significantly enhance the scientific impact of the mission. GI proposals for such theoretical investigations are also solicited and should specifically address how the anticipated results will advance Fermi science objectives.

1.4 Classes of Proposals

There are two proposal classes: (1) Regular proposals with research plans that can be completed in one or two years, and (2) Large proposals whose research plans are more expansive and may take up to three years to complete. Regular proposals spanning two years are intended for projects that could be partially accomplished in a single year, but would benefit from a more comprehensive multiple year study, as opposed to Large proposals whose scope cannot be accomplished in a single year. Large programs will remain prioritized for projects that are inherently resource intensive and large in scope. The number of Large projects funded in any given year will be limited.

The burden of justifying the need for Large projects is on the proposers. The peer-review committees will not be authorized to descope Large projects. They must be recommended for selection (or not) as proposed. Proposing a project in duplication as a single year plus as a Large program is strongly discouraged. On the other hand, requests for Regular Multiyear Programs may be descope to single year programs based on peer-review recommendations and/or programmatic considerations.
Proposers requesting two-year projects that are selected at Phase 1 should not assume that they have been awarded two years of support; this determination will be made before Phase 2 of the review. All ongoing projects will be reviewed each year to determine if appropriate progress is being made toward the proposed objectives.

PIs of approved Large projects must submit a progress report annually on the proposal due date, rather than on the anniversary of the award date. The progress report should comply with the page limit and format requirements of Phase-1 Regular proposals. It should list the deliverables (papers, public software, etc.) that have resulted from the ongoing work, as well as an adherence to the schedule specified in the original proposal. Progress reports should be submitted through the Astrophysics Research Knowledgebase Remote Proposal System (RPS) system. Because of the significant resources allocated to large multiyear projects, those that do not make progress consistent with the proposed investigation could be reduced or terminated.

The continuation into year two of Regular Projects that were approved for two years duration will not require a second scientific peer evaluation. The PIs of such projects will, however, be solicited by the NASA Shared Services Center (NSSC) for a progress report that will be reviewed by NASA prior to the release of year two funds.

1.5 Proposal Page Limits

The page limit for the central Science/Technical/Management section of Phase 1 proposals is four pages for Regular proposals (one or two years) and six pages for Large proposals. These page limits include figures and references. An additional page is required to describe the technical justification for the observation time, as well as the telescope and instrumentation configurations being requested through the joint programs with NOAO, NRAO, Arecibo, INTEGRAL, Suzaku, and VERITAS.

Proposals should be single-spaced, typewritten, English-language text, using one or two columns, and using an easily read font size 12-point or larger and no more than 15 characters per horizontal inch. No smaller font should be used in the subsections of the proposal, including references, however, figure captions can be in fonts as small as 10-point. In addition, the proposal shall have no more than 5.5 lines per inch of text. Pages should have at least one-inch (2.5 cm) margins on all sides. Proposals not conforming to the format above will be declared noncompliant and may be rejected without further review.

For detailed requirements on the formatting other than those stated above, please refer to the NASA Guidebook for Proposers at http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/procurement/nraguidebook/

2. Programmatic Information

2.1 General Information

Awards for Regular (one or two year duration) proposals are expected to average $60,000 per year and generally to be less than $70,000; for Large proposals, the corresponding levels are $150,000 and $200,000 per year. Regular proposals for more than $70,000 per year and Large
proposals for more than $200,000 per year are unlikely to be approved without extremely compelling justification.

Awards for triggered analyses (e.g., transients meeting specific criteria) may not be released until after such triggers occur. Fermi GI funding is open only to individuals employed at U.S. institutions.

Proposals with a foreign-based PI and U.S.-based Co-Is will be eligible for funding at a typical level of $10,000-$15,000 and will be generally for less than $20,000. Proposals of this type for more than $20,000 are unlikely to be approved without extremely compelling justification.

Only proposals led by a US-based PI will be considered for funding. As always, participation by individuals at foreign organizations is permitted only on a no exchange of funds basis.

Fermi science team members already receiving support from the Project are eligible for support, but must provide a compelling justification for the award of additional funds under the GI Program. It is the intent of this program that most of the available GI funding be awarded to proposers not formally associated with Fermi.

2.2 Proposal Submission and Evaluation

2.2.1 Submission of Phase 1 Proposals to the Fermi GI Program

The Fermi GI program will use a two-phase proposal process. The first phase will be the submission and evaluation of the science/technical justification. Proposals should include a management section with a statement of work and an estimate of the resources needed to accomplish this work. The required proposal forms must be submitted through the RPS.

Proposals requiring more than one year of effort (two-year Regular and Large proposals) should include a schedule list of expected deliverables and/or milestones for each year of the requested support. For large projects, this schedule will be considered in the peer-evaluation of progress reports prior to years two and three.

Each proposer who anticipates requesting funding must provide a budget estimate, i.e., an estimated maximum of the total cost to NASA (including overhead) of his/her proposed investigation. A box for entering the total budget is provided on the RPS Cover Form.

In the second phase, proposers whose Phase 1 proposals are accepted will be required to submit a budget for review via their home institution. This budget should accurately reflect the work plan that was submitted via the RPS forms in Phase 1. This is particularly important for multiyear proposals (two-year Regular and Large proposals). Proposers should append, as an NSPIRES attachment, a budget justification for each year of proposed work and specify what they expect to accomplish at the end of each of the years proposed. Every line item in the NSPIRES budget needs to be explained in the accompanying text. All proposal materials will be submitted electronically.
Proposers to the Fermi GI Program must adhere to the following procedures for proposal submission:

- Proposers will submit their Phase 1 proposals electronically through the RPS website at: http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/ark/rps/. Instructions for doing so are provided at the FSSC web site at: http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/proposals/.
- Target lists are submitted through the RPS form. All proposals involving joint-program correlated observations or Fermi pointed observations must include a target list.
- Due to the nature of prospective investigations within the Fermi GI program, the Scientific/Technical/Management section of proposals is limited to four pages for Regular proposals (one and two years) and six pages for Large proposals, instead of the default 15 pages specified in the NASA Guidebook for Proposers. Figures and references are included within these four or six page limits. An additional page must be added to describe the technical details of proposed joint program TeV gamma ray, X-ray, radio, or optical observations.
- The standard ROSES requirement for a table of contents in the body of the proposal is waived.
- The Scientific/Technical/Management section will be uploaded to the RPS website as a PDF file.

All proposal materials must be submitted electronically by 4:30 p.m. Eastern Time on the due date for this program given in Tables 2 and 3 of the ROSES Summary of Solicitation in order to be included in the proposal review for this cycle of the Fermi Guest Investigator program. Note that the 4:30 p.m. deadline replaces the deadline stated in the Guidebook for Proposers and in the ROSES Summary of Solicitation.

NASA uses a single, uniform set of instructions for the submission of ROSES proposals. These instructions are given in the NASA Guidebook for Proposers (http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/procurement/nraguidebook/). Fermi GI proposers should follow these instructions, except where they are overridden by the instructions given in the ROSES Summary of Solicitation or in this Appendix.

2.2.2 Evaluation of Phase 1 Proposals Submitted to the Fermi GI Program

A peer evaluation panel will review all proposals with respect to the criteria specified in Section C.2 of the NASA Guidebook for Proposers, where it is understood that the intrinsic merit of a proposal shall include the following factors:

- The suitability of using the Fermi observatory and data products for the proposed investigation;
- The extent to which the investigation enhances the anticipated science return from the Fermi mission;
- The degree to which the proposed investigation places demands upon mission resources (this is particularly relevant for pointed observations); and
• In the case of Progress Reports (i.e., requests to continue multiyear projects), demonstrable progress towards the stated milestones of the original science proposal.

It is understood that the relevance of a proposal shall include the following factor:

• For data analysis development and theoretical investigations, the degree to which the investigation directly advances Fermi science goals.

2.2.3 Submission and Evaluation of Phase 2 proposals

Subject to the availability of funding, successful Phase 1 proposers will be contacted by the NASA Selecting Official and invited to submit a cost proposal in Phase 2. Upon notification of selection of a Phase 1 proposal, a proposer must respond as follows:

• Follow the instructions for submitting a Phase 2 proposal given in the selection notification from the Phase 1 review. Phase 2 (cost) proposals must be submitted through the NASA NSPIRES electronic proposal website (http://nspires.nasaprs.com/) by an Authorized Organizational Representative (AOR) of the proposing organization.
• The total budget may not exceed the budget estimate the proposer provided in the Phase 1 proposal.
• Budget Details are limited to three pages, and the Budget Narrative is limited to two pages. Any substantive changes from the budget management plan already submitted in Phase 1 should be justified explicitly.

NASA program personnel will evaluate the Phase 2 cost proposals against the third evaluation criterion, cost realism and reasonableness, and will also compare the proposed cost to available funds, as specified in Section C.2 of the NASA Guidebook for Proposers.

2.3 Supplemental Information

Further details concerning the proposal submission requirements and process can be found at the Fermi Science Support Center website http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/. This website provides a detailed mission description; technical information about the Fermi mission, instruments, and feasibility of different types of observations; and instructions for completing the required proposal forms.
### 3. Summary of Key Information

| Expected total program budget for new awards. | Funding for the GI program is expected to be ~$3-4 3-3.5 M/year of which ~1.9-2.4 M is available for new awards. This might permit, for example, the selection of ~30-40 Regular proposals with average awards of $60K and generally less than $70K per year, and 2 or 3 Large proposals with average awards of $150K per year and generally less than $200K per year. |
| Maximum duration of awards | 1 or 2 years for Regular proposals and up to 3 years for Large proposals (see Section 1.3) |
| Due date for Notice of Intent to propose (NOI) | Option not available |
| Due date for proposals | See Tables 2 and 3 in the ROSES Summary of Solicitation. |
| Planning date for start of investigation | 5-10 months after proposal due date. |
| Page limit for the central Science-Technical-Management section of Phase 1 proposal | 4 pp for regular proposals, 6 pp for large proposals; 1 additional page is required to describe joint program observations (see Section 1.5). Page limits include figures and references. This instruction supersedes the limits given in the NASA Guidebook for Proposers. |
| Relevance | This program is relevant to the astrophysics strategic goals and subgoals; see Table 1 of ROSES and the reference therein. Proposals that are relevant to this program are, by definition, relevant to NASA. |
| General information and overview of this solicitation | See the ROSES Summary of Solicitation. |
| Detailed instructions for the preparation and submission of proposals | See the NASA Guidebook for Proposers at http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/procurement/nraguidebook/. |
| Submission medium | Electronic proposal submission is required in PDF format; no hard copy is required. See Section IV of the ROSES Summary of Solicitation and Chapter 3 of the NASA Guidebook for Proposers. |
| Web site for submission of Notice of Intent to propose (NOI) | Option not available |
| Web site for submission of Phase 1 proposal and required forms | http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/proposals/ (Help Desk available at http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/ark/tps/help/) |
| Web site for submission of Phase 1 proposal via NSPIRES | Option not available |
| Web site for submission of Phase 1 proposal via Grants.gov | Option not available |
| Fermi Science Support Center helpdesk | http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/help/ |
| Programmatic information may be obtained from the Fermi Program Scientist | Keith B. MacGregor  
Astrophysics Division  
Science Mission Directorate  
NASA Headquarters  
Washington, DC 20546-0001  
Telephone: (202) 358-2463  
E-mail: keith.b.macgregor@nasa.gov |
|---|---|
| Technical questions concerning this program element may be directed to the Fermi Science Support Center | Chris Shrader  
Code 661  
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center  
Greenbelt, MD 20771-0001  
Telephone: (301) 286-8434  
Email: Chris.R.Shrader@nasa.gov  
| Questions concerning Fermi capabilities may be directed to the Fermi Project Scientist | Julie McEnery  
Code 661  
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center  
Greenbelt, MD 20771  
Telephone: 301-286-1632  
Email: Julie.E.McEnery@nasa.gov |