

D.5 NEIL GEHRELS SWIFT OBSERVATORY GUEST INVESTIGATOR – CYCLE 17

NOTICE: Beginning in Cycle 17, all Phase-1 proposals submitted to the Neil Gehrels Swift Guest Investigator Program will be evaluated following a dual-anonymous peer review process. Proposals must be accordingly prepared following the guidelines in Section 2.2.2 and in the associated "Guidelines for Anonymous Proposals" document.

1. Scope of Program

1.1 Overview

The Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory (hereafter known as Swift) Guest Investigator (GI) Program solicits proposals for basic research relevant to the Swift gamma-ray burst mission. The primary goal of this mission is to determine the origin of gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) and use these bursts to probe the early Universe. Swift is also a valuable asset for obtaining multiwavelength images, spectra, and light curves on interesting Targets of Opportunity (ToOs) and other non-transient sources.

Cycle 17 observations and funding will commence on or around April 1, 2021, and last approximately 12 months. Further details on the Cycle 17 program will be posted on the Swift web pages (<https://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/proposals>) in August 2020. As was the case in Swift GI Cycles 4 through 16, observing time will be made available to scientists at U.S. and non-U.S. institutions to study a wide variety of astrophysical sources. Consistent with Explorer Program policy, there will be no proprietary data rights to observations conducted with Swift. All science data will be made freely available through the Swift Quick Look web site (<https://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/sdc/ql>), as soon as they are received and processed.

Funding through the NASA Swift GI Program is available only to scientists at U.S. institutions who are identified as the Principal Investigators (PIs). U.S. based Co-Investigators (Co-Is) on foreign-led proposals do not qualify for funding. Funding for accepted target proposals will be initiated only after the relevant observations have begun. Proposers from non-U.S. institutions are strongly encouraged to include a letter of commitment promising financial support.

The Swift GI program is intended to provide the following to participating scientists:

1. Funding (U.S. GIs only) for:
 - New Swift projects;
 - Correlative GRB and non-GRB observations;
 - Other correlative GRB projects; and
 - Theoretical investigations that will advance the Swift mission science return.
2. Observations (and funding for U.S. GIs) for:
 - Non-ToO observations of non-GRB targets;
 - ToOs;
 - Large Programs requesting more than 100 targets or more than 100 kiloseconds (ks) total exposure time;
 - "Fill-in" targets; and
 - Key projects.

1.2 The Swift Mission

Swift is a Medium-class Explorer mission developed at the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center. The lead domestic partners include Pennsylvania State University and Los Alamos National Laboratory. Groups in the United Kingdom and Italy made significant contributions to the hardware development and are active participants in the operations, including provision of the Italian ground station at Malindi. The Swift Mission Operations Center (MOC) is at Pennsylvania State University, and the Swift Science Center (SSC) is at the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center.

The Swift mission was launched on November 20, 2004, from Cape Canaveral Air Force Station, Florida. Swift was launched into a low Earth orbit with an inclination of 21 degrees and an altitude of 600 km. The baseline mission duration was two years, but the mission has been extended beyond this initial period because of its continuing scientific productivity. The orbital lifetime of the satellite is estimated to be approximately 20 years.

The Swift spacecraft carries three science instruments: a wide-field gamma-ray Burst Alert Telescope (BAT) and two sensitive, co-aligned narrow-field instruments – the X-ray Telescope (XRT) and the Ultraviolet/Optical Telescope (UVOT). The spacecraft can be autonomously pointed to direct the XRT and UVOT toward events detected by the BAT. The BAT is a wide-field gamma-ray imager that detects GRBs and rapidly sends positions of arcminute accuracy to the spacecraft and to the ground. The BAT operates in the 15–350 keV range and has a 1.4 steradian (half-coded) field-of-view. The BAT has a GRB detection sensitivity ~2 times better than the Burst and Transient Source Experiment (BATSE) that flew on the Compton Gamma-Ray Observatory (CGRO). In addition to detecting GRBs, the BAT is performing a survey of the hard X-ray sky to a sensitivity of ~1 mCrab (2×10^{-11} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹). The BAT also scans most of the sky each 90-minute orbit and serves as a sensitive monitor for high-energy transients. Positions and spectra of transients detected by the BAT are telemetered to the ground and distributed immediately to the community.

In response to GRB alerts from the BAT, the spacecraft reorients on a time scale of ~1 minute to point the XRT and UVOT instruments at a GRB or other transient. These instruments perform multiwavelength measurements of the bright early afterglow (and also later-time afterglow) emission to provide subarcsecond positions, precise photometry, and fine spectroscopy. The XRT is a Wolter 1 grazing incidence telescope that operates in the 0.2–10 keV band and has a field-of-view of 23.6 arcminutes with an angular resolution of 18 arcseconds (Half Power Diameter) and positional determination accuracy of better than 5 arcseconds. The detector is a cooled CCD, providing spectroscopy with a resolution $E/\Delta E \sim 10$ at 1 keV and an effective area of 120 cm². The UVOT is a Ritchey-Chrétien folded-optics telescope operating in the 170–650 nm band. It has a field-of-view of 17 arcminutes \times 17 arcminutes, with an angular resolution of 2.5 arcseconds and positional determination accuracy of 0.3 arcseconds. UVOT provides a sensitivity to afterglows of 22nd magnitude for a 1,000 second integration in its V filter, one of six filters for color photometry. It also has a white-light filter and two grisms for fine spectroscopy ($E/\Delta E \sim 300$) of sources brighter than 17th magnitude. The narrow-field instruments yield an accurate position and X-ray spectra of the afterglow within a

few minutes of the burst. This information is distributed immediately over the Internet. Data from continued observations of the afterglow are made available via Circulars and Reports on the Gamma-ray bursts Coordinates Network (GCN, <http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/>) and on a public web site (<https://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/archive/>). Notification of transient source detections is made through IAU Circulars (<http://www.cbat.eps.harvard.edu/services/IAUC.html>) and Astronomer's Telegrams (ATELs, <http://www.astronomerstelegam.org/>). Data from serendipitous source detections in the field-of-view of both instruments are routinely sent to the ground for analysis.

Further information on the Swift mission may be found at <https://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/>.

1.3 Types of Proposals

This Swift GI Program solicits proposals in the following areas:

1. New Swift projects not requiring GI-specified observatory pointing;
2. Correlative GRB observations involving new or enhanced IR ground-based capabilities for investigating high-redshift bursts, and other correlative GRB and non-GRB observations involving non- Swift instruments and observatories;
3. Theoretical investigations that will advance the Swift mission science return;
4. Non-GRB non-ToO observations that benefit from Swift's unique capability of simultaneous multiwavelength coverage;
5. ToO observations which promise large scientific return and capitalize on Swift's unique capabilities of rapid repointing and multiwavelength observations;
6. Large Programs requesting more than 100 targets or more than 100 ks total exposure time;
7. Fill-in targets to be observed in what would otherwise be gaps in the planned science timeline; and
8. Key Projects which aim at addressing major, high-impact scientific questions by making use of the strengths of Swift.

1.3.1 *New Swift projects*

GIs may propose to initiate their own Swift projects that supplement or enhance the Swift science return with their unique facilities, missions, capabilities, or methods. The extent to which the proposed research will enhance the science return from Swift and the demands placed upon mission resources by an investigation will be considered in the proposal evaluation process. Proposals in this category can also include changes or additions to current Swift strategies to detect and observe GRBs and other transient events (Swift detected or elsewhere) and can propose innovative data reduction and interpretation methods that increase our understanding of cosmic explosions. Proposals that require changes to Swift onboard capabilities or operational procedures may require special scrutiny during the review process by the Swift team for technical feasibility and may require formal approval by the Swift Configuration Control Board before implementation. Investigators considering such proposals are strongly urged to consult with the Swift team prior to proposal submission.

1.3.2 *Swift GRB and non-GRB Correlative Observations*

GRB and non-GRB correlative observations substantially augment the science return from Swift. The Swift instruments, for example, make unique measurements of GRB afterglows starting immediately following the burst, supernova (SN) shock breakouts, or tidal disruption events. However, it is not possible to follow up all targets on all time scales, since viewing constraints and scheduling conflicts will preclude some Swift observations. Also, the onboard capability, although significant, does not cover all of the scientifically valuable measurements that need to be made. Candidate correlative observations that will add significantly to the Swift science include radio imaging and photometry, spectroscopy, deep optical imaging and spectroscopy of the afterglow and possible host galaxy, surpassing the capability of the UVOT to reach 22nd V magnitude in 1,000 seconds, and rapid optical observations with time scales shorter than the 1-minute Swift response time.

To foster correlative observations, the Swift project has established joint GI observing programs with other ground- and space-based facilities: The National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO), the Chandra X-ray Observatory, the International Gamma-Ray Astrophysics Laboratory (INTEGRAL), the X-ray Multi-Mirror Mission (XMM-Newton), and the Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array (NuSTAR). Proposals for joint Chandra, INTEGRAL, TESS, and XMM-Newton observations should be submitted to those programs and the Swift time will be recommended by those reviews. For NRAO observations, the Swift GI program can award radio observations through the Swift's joint program with NRAO. There are a number of technical and policy details regarding the Swift/NRAO joint program, and proposers are strongly encouraged to refer to the Memorandum of Understanding: <https://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/proposals/nrao.html>.

The Swift Guest Investigator program can award NuSTAR observations through a joint program with the NuSTAR mission. Observing time under this program will be awarded only to proposals that require use of both observatories to meet the primary science goals. Proposers are strongly encouraged to refer to the [Swift/NuSTAR Memorandum of Understanding](#), which may be found under other documents on the NSPIRES page for this program element.

By this agreement, NuSTAR permits the Swift GI Program to award up to 300 ks of NuSTAR observing time. The minimum NuSTAR response time to Targets of Opportunity is 48 hours. ToO observations with a turnaround time less than one week must be well justified and of high scientific value. NuSTAR data acquired through the Swift GI Program will have a standard 12-month exclusive-use period commencing at the time of receipt of the processed data by the observer. This period is restricted to 6-months for peer-reviewed ToOs. The Swift Mission Project will make funding available to successful U.S.-based investigators who request NuSTAR observing time through the Swift GI process. No funds will be awarded from the NuSTAR project for joint investigations proposed to this Swift program element.

The NuSTAR GI Program will perform feasibility checks on the proposed observations and reserves the right to reject any observation determined for any reason to be technically unfeasible or to jeopardize the NuSTAR mission. Such a rejection would likely affect the entire proposed science program and could impact the award of Swift

observing time as well. Selected proposals will be allocated NuSTAR observing time without additional scientific review, if judged technically feasible.

GRBs at high redshift are particularly compelling due to their distance and rely especially on high quality infrared (IR) observations for distance estimates, since the optical counterpart is redshifted out of Swift/UVOT's wavelength range. To encourage the development of rapid IR ground-based response to potentially high redshift GRBs, special consideration will be given to such projects. Proposals to bring new or enhanced ground-based IR capabilities online may require funding in the range of \$100,000 per year. Such budget requests will be considered, provided they are strongly justified. A six-page limit for the scientific justification applies to proposals submitted in this high redshift "Correlative Observations" proposal category.

For all correlative investigations funded by Swift, rapid public availability of the data or results is in the interest of the Swift mission and the astronomical community and is strongly encouraged. Public data availability for correlative studies should be discussed in these proposals and will be considered in the evaluation of proposals.

1.3.3 *Theoretical Investigations*

GRB and non-GRB theoretical studies have the potential to significantly enhance the scientific impact of the Swift mission. GI proposals for such theoretical investigations are also solicited and should specifically address how the anticipated results will advance Swift science objectives.

1.3.4 *Non-GRB, non-ToO observations*

A total of two million seconds of observing time will be made available during Cycle 17 for non-GRB, non-ToO pointed observations. Swift observations in this category will be performed only as the result of an uploaded ground command through the normal planning process; slewing to the target will not occur autonomously. Non-GRB/non-ToO observations will have a lower scheduling priority than GRBs or ToOs and will be observed on a best-effort basis when time is available in the observing schedule. Hence, successful non-GRB/non-ToO GIs should be aware that they are not assured 100% of the time awarded. Every effort will be made to observe 80% or more of an accepted program within schedule limitations of the mission. A single observation is defined as one requested pointing to a target. Proposers should be aware that, due to Swift's low Earth orbit (95-minute orbit period) and scheduling priorities for other objects, any long observation may be broken up into several different pointings on different orbits. Observations longer than a few kiloseconds (ks) might be split into several days.

Non-GRB/non-ToO proposals are subject to the following limitations:

- The requested time per observation (i.e., a single visit to a target) must be between a minimum of 1 ks and a maximum of 40 ks;
- Monitoring programs are defined as programs requiring two or more observations of the same object, each of which is considered a "visit;" and
- No more than 2,000 visits will be permitted in this Cycle (total for all proposal categories, including both monitoring and non-monitoring requests).

Time-constrained observations are defined as observations that have to be performed within a certain time window. These can be ToOs or non-ToOs, either monitoring (more than one visit to a source) or non-monitoring observations, but not "fill-in" observations. This includes phase-constrained proposals, coordinated observing campaigns with ground-based or satellite-based facilities, etc. Note that the unique scheduling requirements of Swift put severe constraints on time-constrained programs. The window duration for time-constrained observations must exceed three hours.

For coordinated and constrained observations, it is the proposer's responsibility to inform the Swift Science Operations Team of the observing time windows at least one week before observations start. Proposers must clearly describe how their proposal capitalizes on the unique capabilities of Swift.

Only "Key Projects" observing programs may be carried over from Cycle 17 to Cycle 18. Regular proposal targets whose observations have commenced in Cycle 17 will be awarded carryover time in Cycle 18 until the proposed observations are substantially complete. GIs whose observing programs have not begun in Cycle 17 will be required to repropose in Cycle 18 if they wish to acquire observing time. Similarly, Cycle-16-accepted proposals that have not been initiated by the start of Cycle 17 will not be carried over. Cycle 16 GIs concerned that their programs may not be started before the end of the cycle should re-propose for Cycle 17.

1.3.5 ToO Observations

GIs are allowed to propose for ToOs in response to transient phenomena, including GRBs found by other observatories. A total of at most one million seconds of observing time will be made available to ToO proposals, subject to the constraints listed below. Swift ToO observations will only be performed as the result of an uploaded command by the Mission Operations Center and will not be slewed to autonomously. ToO observations will have a lower scheduling priority than GRBs and will be observed on a best-effort basis. Because of this restriction, successful ToO GIs should be aware that they are not assured 100% of the time awarded, even if their ToO is triggered. Every effort will be made to observe 80% or more of an accepted program. GIs submitting ToO proposals should note that:

- Each proposal should describe how it capitalizes on the unique capabilities of Swift;
- Proposals must give exact, detailed trigger criteria and a realistic estimate of the probability of triggering the ToO during Cycle 17; and
- Proposals must assign a priority to each ToO target based on the time criticality of the observation. From the time of the trigger, the priorities are defined as
 - Highest Urgency: Observation should be performed within four hours;
 - High Urgency: Observation should be performed within 24 hours;
 - Medium Urgency: Observation can be performed within days to a week; or
 - Low Urgency: Observations can be performed within weeks.

Because new GRBs are constantly being discovered, the Swift observing schedule is revised on a daily basis. Note that Highest Priority ToOs are particularly difficult to handle at night and on weekends when the Mission Operations Center is not staffed. These should be avoided in all but the most urgent cases (e.g., transient events like a

Galactic SN, a very bright GeV gamma-ray burst, or a giant soft gamma-ray repeater flare).

It is the responsibility of the Principal Investigator (PI) of an accepted ToO to alert the Swift Observatory Duty Scientist when trigger conditions for their accepted ToO have been met. This is done through the Swift ToO Request Form at <https://www.swift.psu.edu/>. It is highly recommended that ToO proposers register as Swift ToO users in advance at <https://www.swift.psu.edu/>. Registration is required in order to submit a ToO Request.

ToO proposals must have an astrophysical trigger. Once the trigger criteria have been met for an approved target, the PI should check if the target location is more than five hours in RA from the Sun and more than 20 degrees from the Moon before requesting Swift observations (<http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/Tools/Viewing.html>). ToO observations that require more than 6 ks on a given day and are closer to the Sun than five hours RA will be less likely to be approved unless they are of exceptionally high scientific priority. Observations greater than nine hours in RA from the Sun are particularly desirable. The purpose of the anti-Sun restriction for ToOs is to maximize the amount of time Swift is pointed toward the night sky in order to optimize optical follow-up observations of BAT-detected GRBs.

Accepted Cycle 17 ToO proposals may be triggered until March 31, 2022. GIs whose ToO programs do not trigger in Cycle 17 will be required to re-propose in later cycles should they wish to acquire observing time on their targets of interest. Only “Key Projects” ToO programs will be carried over from Cycle 17 to Cycle 18, and may be triggered until March 31, 2023.

Note that unsolicited ToO requests for exceptional transients will continue to be possible through the Swift ToO web site, even for those not accepted into the GI Program. The decision on whether or not to observe a ToO of either category will be made by the Swift Principal Investigator or his official designee. Such ToO requests are unfunded.

1.3.6 *Large Programs*

Proposals requesting more than 100 targets or more than 100 ks total exposure time are defined as Large Programs. A total of up to 1 Megasecond (Ms) of exposure time has been reserved for Large Programs, subject to the submission of proposals of high scientific merit.

Both long-duration observations of single targets, tiling of extended sources that exceed the fields of view of the Swift XRT and UVOT instruments, or shorter duration observations of many targets can be requested in the Large Programs proposal category. Proposers should be aware that, due to Swift’s low Earth orbit (95-minute orbit period) and scheduling priorities for other objects, any long observation exceeding a few kiloseconds will be broken up into several different pointings on different orbits.

The observations proposed for Large Programs must be completed within the 12-month period covered by this Cycle.

1.3.7 *Swift "Fill-in" Targets*

GIs may submit a list of targets for consideration as "Fill-in" targets. Their purpose is to provide a set of peer-reviewed targets to be used to fill in gaps in the planned science timeline. These must not be ToOs, must have no observational constraints, and can only be observed once (no multiple observations of the same target). UVOT Grism observations are not permitted as "Fill-in" observations because they require a slew-in-place. The minimum total integration time must be 1 ks per target. Accepted targets will be added to the Swift observing program at the discretion of the science operations team. They will be scheduled, as needed, around the higher priority GRB follow-up observations, ToO and non-ToO observations, to maximize the Swift science program. Funding is not provided for Fill-In proposals. Although GIs should have no expectation that their entire list of "Fill-in" targets will be observed, past experience has shown that fill-in proposals are usually undersubscribed and do get done. Due to the nature of Swift science planning, Swift GI "Fill-in" observations will be scheduled only about 24 hours prior to observation, and PIs will not be notified until observations have been completed for a given target. Scheduling information will be available to GIs via the daily observing plan (<http://www.swift.psu.edu/>).

To reiterate:

- Fill-in targets are not ToOs and cannot be triggered;
- Fill-in targets cannot be time constrained;
- No monitoring is allowed with fill-in targets. Proposers cannot request multiple target visits, but they can request more than 100 fill-in targets per proposal;
- No UVOT Grism observations are allowed; and
- Fill-in targets are scheduled at the convenience of the science planners. There is no guarantee that any of the targets in any fill-in program will be scheduled or completely observed in this Cycle.

1.3.8 *Swift Key Projects*

Key Projects are intended to greatly advance the Swift science program, enhance its breadth of impact, and represent an enduring legacy of Swift results. Proposals in this category may request support for new Swift projects, theoretical investigations, observations of non-GRB non-ToO targets, and observations of ToO targets. The proposed research plans can be carried out in one or two years. Proposals may also request funding in the range of \$100,000 per year. Such budget requests will be considered, provided they are strongly justified.

The number of Key Projects funded in any given year will be limited. It is the responsibility of the proposers to strongly justify how the proposed program will address high-impact scientific questions by making use of the strengths of Swift. A six-page limit for the scientific justification applies to proposals submitted in this "Key Projects" proposal category.

Proposers requesting two-year projects that are selected at Phase 1 should not assume that they have been awarded two years of support; this determination will be made at Phase-2 of the review. PIs of approved multiyear Key Projects will be solicited for a progress report that will be reviewed by NASA to determine if appropriate progress is being made toward the proposed objectives. Because of the significant resources

allocated to multiyear Key Projects, those that do not make progress consistent with the proposed investigation could be reduced or terminated.

2. Programmatic Information

2.1 General Information

It is anticipated that up to \$1.4M will be available through this program element for the support of approximately 35 Guest Investigations of one-year duration each (except for Key Projects). Note that additional unfunded Guest Investigations are likely to be selected (for example, Fill-in proposals). Swift non-GRB pointed observations are open to all scientists at U.S. or non-U.S. institutions. Swift GI funding is open to all individuals who are identified as Principal Investigators and employed at U.S. institutions, including Swift science team members. Scientists participating in the Swift mission, including Associate Scientists and members of the Follow-up Team who are not funded by the Project, are eligible for support under this GI Program. Swift science team members who already receive support from the Project must provide a compelling justification for the award of additional funds under the GI Program.

NASA does not anticipate awarding contracts in response to proposals submitted to this program element, because it would not be appropriate for the nature of the work solicited.

2.2 Proposal Submission and Evaluation

2.2.1 *Submission of Proposals to the Swift GI Program*

The Swift GI program uses a two-phase proposal process. A Phase-1 proposal shall comprise the science/technical justification; proposals requesting funds need to include a budget narrative, describing in sufficient detail how the proposed funds will be used to achieve the goals outlined in the proposal. Only proposers whose Phase-1 proposals are accepted will be invited to submit budget proposals in Phase 2. It is not necessary for the PI of the Phase-2 proposal to be the science PI. Proposal content, including the list of investigators, must remain consistent between Phase-1 and Phase-2 proposals. All proposal materials will be submitted electronically.

The Phase-1 peer review will be executed in a "dual-anonymous" fashion, where not only are proposers unaware of the identity of the members on the review panel, but the reviewers do not have explicit knowledge of the proposal teams (see Section 2.2.2).

Awards are expected to average \$35,000 per year. Only proposals in the "Key Projects" category and in the high redshift "Correlative Observations" category may require funding substantially above the average award (i.e., in the \$100,000 range per year), and will need to provide a detailed cost justification. The amount of the anticipated funding request must be entered into the box provided for this purpose on the Remote Proposal System (RPS) Cover Form. The detailed cost evaluation will be deferred until Phase 2. The funding amount requested in the Phase-2 cost proposal may not exceed the amount proposed in Phase 1. "Fill-in" proposals will be unfunded.

Proposers to the Swift GI Program must adhere to the following proposal submission procedures:

- All Proposers must submit their Phase-1 proposals electronically through the Astrophysics Research Knowledgebase (ARK)/Remote Proposal System (RPS) website at <http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/ark/rps/>. Instructions for doing so are provided at the SSC web site, <https://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/>;
- Target forms for all observation proposals are to be submitted through ARK/RPS;
- Due to the nature of prospective investigations within the Swift GI program, the Scientific/Technical/Management section of proposals is limited to four pages (six pages for high redshift "Correlative Observations" proposals and "Key Projects" proposals), instead of the default 15 pages specified in the *NASA Guidebook for Proposers*. The requirement for a table of contents in the body of the proposal is waived.
- No supporting material (e.g., Curriculum Vitae, pending/current support) is required or allowed other than what is specified in the supplemental documentation concerning the dual-anonymous review procedure.
- Optional Latex and MS Word templates for the Scientific/Technical/Management section are provided on the SSC web site at <https://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/>; and
- The Scientific/Technical/Management section must be uploaded to the RPS website as a PDF file.

All proposal materials must be submitted electronically by 7:30 p.m. Eastern time on the due date for this program given in Section 3 in order to be included in the proposal review for this cycle of the Swift Guest Investigator program. Note that the 7:30 p.m. deadline supersedes the deadline stated in the [NASA Guidebook for Proposers](#) and in the *ROSES Summary of Solicitation*.

Instructions for the formatting and content of ROSES proposals are given in the *ROSES Summary of Solicitation* and, for topics not addressed there, refer to the [NASA Guidebook for Proposers](#). Swift GI Proposers must follow these instructions, except where they are overridden by the instructions given in the Astrophysics Research Program Overview or in this program element.

2.2.2 Specific Instructions for Dual-Anonymous Peer Review Phase-1 Proposals

The overarching objective of dual-anonymous peer review is to reduce unconscious bias in the evaluation of the merit of a proposal. Under this system, not only are proposers unaware of the identity of the members on the review panel, but the reviewers do not have explicit knowledge of the proposal teams.

Proposers should consult the "Guidelines for Anonymous Proposals" document in the "Other Documents" section on the NSPIRES of this program element for instructions on writing proposals appropriate for dual-anonymous peer review. The instructions here and in that document supersede the default instructions given in the [NASA Guidebook for Proposers](#) and the *ROSES Summary of Solicitation*. Proposers will also be required to upload a separate "Expertise and Resources - Not Anonymized" document, which is not anonymized. The "Guidelines for Anonymous Proposals" document contains complete information on how to write this separate document.

In order to meet the objectives of dual-anonymous peer review, review panels will be instructed to evaluate the anonymized proposals based on their scientific merit, without initially taking into account the proposing team qualifications. As a final check, and only

after the scientific evaluation is finalized for all proposals, the panel will be provided with the "Expertise and Resources - Not Anonymized" documents. The panel will validate the qualifications of the team in order to allow the reviewers to assess the team capabilities required to execute a given proposed science investigation.

A summary of the key factors for PIs to keep in mind are:

- Proposals should eliminate language that identifies the proposers or institutions, as discussed in the Guidelines for Anonymous Proposals
- PIs are required to upload a one-page “Expertise and Resources – Not Anonymized” PDF through ARK as a separate upload when submitting the science justification. This document must not be anonymized.
- NASA understands that dual-anonymous peer review represents a major shift in the evaluation of General Observer / General Investigator proposals, and as such there may be occasional slips in writing anonymized proposals. However, NASA reserves the right to return without review proposals that are particularly egregious in terms of the identification of the proposing team.

A summary of the key requirements for preparing anonymized Phase-1 proposals is provided in the table below.

Item	Requirement
Anonymization	Phase-1 proposals are anonymized. Phase-2 (cost) proposals are not anonymized.
Submission	Phase-1 proposals are submitted through ARK/RPS. Phase-2 (cost) proposals are submitted through NSPIRES.
References	References should be in the [1], [2] format.
Work plan	Include an anonymized one-paragraph work plan in the main body of the Phase-1 proposal.
Proposal length	No change.
Separate “Expertise and Resources - Not Anonymized” document	This document provides a list of all team members, their roles, expertise, and contributions to the work. The document should also discuss any specific resources that are key to completing the proposed work.

2.2.3 Evaluation of Proposals submitted to the Swift GI Program

Phase-1 Proposals will be evaluated by a peer evaluation panel with respect to Relevance and Merit, as defined in Appendix D of the [NASA Guidebook for Proposers](#). The evaluation of intrinsic merit of a proposal shall include:

- The suitability of using the Swift observatory and data products for the proposed investigation;
- The extent to which the investigation complements and enhances the anticipated science return from the Swift mission;
- The degree to which the proposed investigation places demands upon mission resources;
- The degree to which the proposed investigation capitalizes on the unique capabilities of Swift; and
- For theoretical investigations, the degree to which the investigation directly advances Swift science goals.

2.2.4 Submission and Evaluation of Phase-2 proposals

Subject to the availability of funding, successful Phase-1 proposers will be contacted by the Swift Program Officer and invited to submit a cost proposal in Phase 2. Upon notification of selection of a Phase-1 proposal, a proposer must respond by following the instructions for submitting a Phase-2 proposal given in the selection notification from the Phase-1 review. Phase-2 (cost) proposals must be submitted through the NASA NSPIRES electronic proposal website (<http://nspires.nasaprs.com>) by an Authorized Organizational Representative (AOR) of the proposing organization according to the instructions in the *ROSES Summary of Solicitation* of this NRA. The cost proposal will consist of a Budget Details (maximum of two pages) section and a Narrative section (maximum of two pages).

NASA program personnel (as opposed to peer reviewers) will evaluate the Phase-2 (cost) proposals for cost reasonableness and compare the proposed cost to available funds, as allowed by Section VI(a) of the *ROSES Summary of Solicitation*.

Note that since the Phase-2 proposals will not be peer reviewed, the requirement to redact the budget information (per Section IV(b)(iii) of the *Summary of Solicitation*) is waived. All costs must be included in the proposal. Proposers should note that Phase-2 (cost) proposals should not be anonymized.

2.3 Supplemental Information

Further details concerning the proposal submission requirements and process can be found at the Swift Science Center website <https://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/>. This website provides a detailed mission description; technical information about the Swift mission, instruments, and observation feasibility; and instructions for completing the required proposal forms.

3. Summary of Key Information

Expected program budget for first year of new awards	~\$1.4M
Number of new awards pending adequate proposals of merit	~35
Maximum duration of awards	1 year; 2 years for proposals in the "Key Projects" category

Due date for Notice of Intent to propose (NOI)	Option not available
Due date for phase-1 proposals	7:30 p.m. Eastern time via ARK/RPS by the date given in See Tables 2 and 3 of this ROSES NRA
Planning date for start of investigation	Funding will be awarded when the data are made available to the PI. NASA center proposers should use October 1 (6 months after start of Cycle 17 observing) as a planning date for start of funding
Page limit for Phase-1 proposals	4 pages for all proposal categories except for proposals submitted in the high redshift "Correlative Observations" category and in the "Key Projects" category, which are allowed up to 6 pages. The budget narrative has a 1-page limit that will not count toward the above page limits. See Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 for Guidelines for preparing proposals for Anonymous Reviews.
Relevance	This program is relevant to the Astrophysics questions and goals in the NASA Science Plan. Proposals that are relevant to this program are, by definition, relevant to NASA.
General information and overview of this solicitation	See the <i>ROSES Summary of Solicitation</i> .
General requirements for content of proposals	See Section 3 of the NASA Guidebook for Proposers and Section IV and Table 1 of the <i>ROSES Summary of Solicitation</i> .
Detailed instructions for the submission of proposals	See https://nspires.nasaprs.com/tutorials/ Sections 3.22-4.4 of the NASA Guidebook for Proposers and Section IV(b) of the <i>ROSES Summary of Solicitation</i> .
Submission medium	Electronic proposal submission is required in PDF format; no hard copy is permitted.
Web site for submission of Notice of Intent to propose (NOI)	Option not available
Web site for submission of Phase-1 proposal and required forms	https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/ark/rps/ (Help Desk available at http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/ark/rps/help/)
Web site for submission of Phase-1 proposal via NSPIRES or grants.gov	Option not available
Web site for submission of Phase-2 proposals	http://nspires.nasaprs.com ; See Section 2.2

<p>Programmatic information may be obtained from the Swift Program Scientist</p>	<p>Evan Scannapieco Astrophysics Division Science Mission Directorate NASA Headquarters Washington, DC 20546-0001 Telephone: (202) 358-3730 Email: evan.scannapieco@nasa.gov</p>
<p>Technical questions concerning this program element may be directed to the Swift Guest Investigator Program</p>	<p>Eleonora Troja Swift Guest Investigator Program Lead Code 662 Goddard Space Flight Center National Aeronautics and Space Administration Greenbelt, MD 20771-0001 Telephone: (301) 286-0941 Email: eleonora.troja@nasa.gov</p>